



**November
2013**

Pennsylvania 9th District Rail Survey
Amtrak, Two-Person Crew, and District Particulars



DFM Research

Dean Mitchell, Consultant
Saint Paul, MN 55102
www.dfmresearch.com

Executive Summary:

Constituents of Pennsylvania's Ninth Congressional District (PA-9) show strong support levels for two core SMART Transportation Division (SMART TD) legislative priorities: funding of Amtrak at current levels or higher and support for federal legislation requiring freight trains to operate with a crew of two. The support levels found in the PA-9 survey are consistent with earlier results from eight 2013 surveys (eight on Amtrak, four on two-person crew) in congressional districts in Middle America.

Key findings on Amtrak include:

- Strong favorable rating for Amtrak (75 percent favorable to 11 percent unfavorable), despite limited Amtrak service. The low unfavorable rating is consistent with numbers found in other parts of the country.
- Seventy-four (74) percent of PA-9 constituents would like to see the option of convenient Amtrak day service to major U.S. cities like Philadelphia, New York City, or Chicago.
- Only 13 percent of PA-9 constituents favor eliminating Amtrak funding (with another 6 percent in favor of reducing funding), even when told that the federal government contributes approximately one-third of the budget. More than seven-in-ten (28 percent more funding and 44 percent same funding) PA-9 constituents favor Amtrak funding at the current level or greater.

The strong level of support for Amtrak and Amtrak funding by PA-9 constituents is notwithstanding limited service and a vast majority (86 percent) who indicate they have not been on Amtrak in the past two years. This would seem to build the case that constituents – as well as all Americans based on all 2013 survey data – understand that passenger rail is one piece of a national transportation network.

In addition to the Amtrak question series, the random survey of PA-9 constituents also measured support levels for federal legislation requiring two individuals to operate freight trains. Two-person train crew is a current safety issue concern in the transportation community following the deadly train accidents in Quebec and Spain. Key findings include:

- More than eight-in-ten (83 percent) PA-9 constituents do not believe that a train with a crew of one is as safe as a train with a crew of two.
- Just 11 percent of PA-9 constituents disapprove of a federal law requiring freight trains to operate with a two-person crew; an overwhelming 84 percent are favor of federal legislation.

While the survey is one snap-shot of PA-9 constituents regarding their views on two core rail labor issues, the data from the November survey is consistent with support levels in other states/congressional districts. Based on the results of this survey, and the eight proceeding, the data consistently shows that no matter who you are, where you live, or what your partisan inclinations; Americans support Amtrak and rail safety (through two-person crew). And PA-9 is no different.

Survey Methodology:

The survey results presented in this report are based on a stratified random sample of 400 adults in Pennsylvania 9th District between November 7th and November 11th.

After the numbers were stratified into the appropriate region, telephone numbers were then selected at random using a skip pattern to guarantee that the interviews were distributed throughout the region. Each number in the sample had the same non-zero chance of being selected for an interview.

Telephone interviews were conducted by trained staff of Stone Research Services of Indianapolis, Indiana, using a computer assisted telephone interview (CATI) system. To ensure everyone in the household would have an equal chance of being selected, callers would ask to interview the resident over the age-of-18 who had the most recent birthday.

Final results are weighted based on gender, age, and region to conform to the approximate disposition of key demographics in the state.

<u>Gender</u>		<u>Age</u>		<u>Region</u>	
Male	48%	18-39	34%	East Counties	37%
Female	52	40-64	43	Northwest Counties	31
		65+	23	Southwest Counties	32

The final results presented are subject to sampling error, which is the difference between results obtained from the survey, and those if everyone in the target population were interviewed. The sampling error – margin of error – for this poll is ± 4.9 percentage points with a 95 percent confidence level; meaning that in 19 out of 20 times the results presented would be within the margin of error. If final results of a question resulted in a tabulated answer of 50 percent, the confidence interval would be between 45.1 to 54.9 percent. It should be noted that the margin of error decreases as final results move closer to zero and 100 percent.

Final analysis of the data, as well as project management, was completed by Dean Mitchell of DFM Research in Saint Paul, Minnesota. In addition to his 22 years of political experience, Dean has completed course work in survey techniques and statistics as part of his Master in Public Policy (MPP) degree from the University of Minnesota’s Humphrey School of Public Affairs.

Reading the Survey Results:

In reading the results, answer choices with the word (VOL) in brackets signifies an answer choice which was not read to the respondent, but was ‘volunteered’ to the interviewer. Where appropriate to the question design, answer choices are rotated to reduce survey bias. Respondents who ‘refuse’ to answer any particular question are not counted in the final results for that question. Due to rounding, numbers in the topline results may not equal 100 percent.

Topline Results:

Interviews: 400 respondents by live caller from Stone Research Services of Indianapolis, Indiana
Population: Residents of Pennsylvania’s 9th District over the age of 18
Margin of Error: ±4.9 percentage points with a 95 percent confidence level
Interview Dates: November 7-11, 2013
Sample: Stratified Random Sample. Random digit numbers provided by Survey Sample International (SSI) of Fairfield, CT. SSI provided Stone Research with 6,000 residential random phone numbers from a pool of listed and unlisted numbers in the boundary area, which then were stratified into separate Congressional districts.
Survey Sponsor: SMART Transportation Division, Pennsylvania State Legislative Board

Q1: Generally speaking, do you think the country is moving in the right direction, or is the country off on the wrong track?

Right direction..... **16%**
 Wrong track **79**
 (VOL) Unsure **6**

Q2: And generally speaking, do you think Pennsylvania is moving in the right direction, or is Pennsylvania off on the wrong track?

Right direction..... **28%**
 Wrong track **52**
 (VOL) Unsure **20**

Q3: I’m now going to read you names of some public figures and organizations, for each one, please tell me if you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion, and if you never heard of them before, just let me know.

	<u>Favorable</u>	<u>Unfavorable</u>	<u>(VOL) Neutral</u>	<u>Never Heard Of</u>
Q3a: Barack Obama	25	70	5	0
Q3b: Bob Casey	44	34	17	5
Q3c: Pat Toomey	34	25	30	11
Q3d: Tom Corbett	36	41	17	6
Q3e: Bill Shuster	46	26	16	12
Q3f: John Boehner	28	37	19	16
Q3g: Tea Party Movement	40	41	16	3
Q3h: Republican Party	44	45	11	0
Q3i: Democratic Party	35	57	8	0
Q3j: Labor Unions	43	42	14	2
Q3k: the NRA	65	22	10	4

Q4: The next statewide election in Pennsylvania is the May primary. Looking ahead, would you say are likely to vote in the republican primary, likely to vote in the democratic primary, or at this point in time are you not certain you will vote?

Republican primary	40%	
Democratic primary.....	23	
Not certain to vote	30	Skip to Q6
(VOL) Unsure	7	Skip to Q6

Q5a: In the Republican primary for U.S. House of Representative, if the election was held today, for whom would you vote: Bill Shuster or Art Halvorson? (Respondents who picked ‘R Primary’ in Q5; MoE ±7.8%pts)

Bill Shuster	53%
Art Halvorson	18
(VOL) Unsure	29

Q5b: In the Democratic primary for Governor, if the election was held today, for whom would you vote: John Hanger, Rob McCord, Kathleen McGinty, Ed Pawloski, Allyson Schwartz, or Tom Wolf? (Respondents who picked ‘D Primary’ in Q4; MoE ±10.0%pts)

John Hanger	1%
Rob McCord	1
Kathleen McGinty	12
Ed Pawloski	1
Allyson Schwartz	1
Tom Wolf	6
(VOL) Unsure	77

Now lets’ turn to next November’s general election

Q6: If the election was held today for U.S. House of Representatives, would you vote for Bill Shuster the Republican or the Democratic candidate?

Bill Shuster	49%
Democratic Candidate	27
(VOL) Unsure	24

Q7: And if the election was held today for Governor, would you vote for Tom Corbett the Republican, or the Democratic candidate?

Tom Corbett	44%
Democratic Candidate	36
(VOL) Unsure	20

Q8: Thinking about the recent federal government shutdown, who do you believe is most at fault?

President Obama and Senate Democrats	49%
Speaker John Boehner and Republicans in Congress.....	26
(VOL) Both	20
(VOL) Unsure	5

Q9: To fund the federal government at current levels, the debt limit will need to be raised once again in early 2014. Looking ahead, what impact do you think NOT raising the debt ceiling will have on Pennsylvania’s economy?

Catastrophic Impact	6
Strong Impact	30
Moderate Impact.....	30
Little Impact	18
No Impact	8
(VOL) Unsure / Neutral	8

Q10: Many in Congress are saying that the only way to truly cut federal spending is through entitlement reform. One proposal would increase the age one can get Medicare, from age 65, to age 67. Do you approve or disapprove increasing the age one can receive Medicare?

Approve raising age	25%
Disapprove raising age	70
(VOL) Unsure / Neutral	5

Q11: I’m now going to read you some additional names, for each one, please tell me if you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion, and if you never heard of them before, just let me know.

	<u>Favorable</u>	<u>Unfavorable</u>	<u>(VOL) Neutral</u>	<u>Never Heard Of</u>
Q11a: Amtrak	75	11	12	1
Q11b: High Speed Rail	54	24	13	9
Q11c: Norfolk Southern	57	5	20	18
Q11d: Transporting Oil by Rail	67	12	14	6
Q11e: Pennsylvania DOT	66	23	11	0
Q11f: Freight Railroads	75	4	12	9
Q11g: Public Transportation	74	15	9	1

Q12: I now want to ask a few questions about Amtrak. Thinking back the past two years or so, how often would you say you have been a passenger on Amtrak?

Never	86%
One to Two Times	12
Three to Five Times.....	1
More than Five Times	1
(VOL) Unsure	0

Q13: Currently Amtrak has one daily passenger train in your area, with service between Pittsburgh and the East Coast. When it comes to passenger rail service here in South Central Pennsylvania, which best fits your view?

Passenger rail service should increase.....	44%
Passenger rail service should remain the same	43
Passenger rail service should be eliminated	4
(VOL) Unsure	10

Q14: Right now train service in South Central Pennsylvania is not always convenient. If there was an additional train or trains as a daytime option to cities like Philadelphia, New York or Chicago, do you find this an idea you could support?

Yes	74%	
No	21	Skip to Q16
(VOL) Unsure	5	Skip to Q16

Q15: Now let’s suppose Amtrak did have several convenient daytime option to Pittsburgh or Philadelphia, and points in-between; how likely would you find yourself using Amtrak as a travel option? (Respondent who answered ‘Yes’ in Q14; MoE ±5.7%pts)

Very likely	16%
Fairly likely	16
Somewhat likely	33
Not very likely	12
Not at all likely	23
(VOL) Unsure	1

Q16: Currently the federal government contributes approximately 1/3 of Amtrak’s overall budget. Knowing this, which best fits your view on Amtrak funding?

The percentage should be higher to allow more passenger rail	28%
The percentage sounds about right, keep Amtrak where it is	44
The percentage should be less, which likely mean cuts	6
Funding should be eliminated, with a loss of most service in America	13
(VOL) Unsure	8

Q17: Critics of Amtrak point out that the federal government spends over \$1 billion dollars per year to subsidize passenger rail service -- mostly in capital improvements -- and that as a nation we can no longer afford Amtrak and its national rail network. Some suggest that Amtrak be privatized to the highest bidder. Such action would likely mean rail service only in the Northeast Corridor, with a loss of rail service here in South Central Pennsylvania and most of America. Knowing this, which best fits your view?

Provide more funding for additional Amtrak service	23%
Provide funding to keep current levels of Amtrak service	43
Provide less funding, which will cut Amtrak service	6
Privatize or cut Amtrak, eliminating most Amtrak service	19
(VOL) Unsure	8

Now changing focus just a little

Q18: I now want to ask you about two major train accidents that happened over the summer, one in Canada, the other in Spain. How familiar would you say you are with the causes or circumstances of the accidents?

Very familiar	4%
Somewhat familiar.....	25
Not very familiar, but have heard	28
Not familiar, have not heard.....	41
(VOL) Unsure	2

Q19: And generally speaking, when it comes to railroad safety and operations, do you think a train with a crew of one individual can be as safe as a train with a crew of two individuals?

Yes, one operator can be as safe as two	9%
No, one operator cannot be not as safe	83
(VOL) Unsure	8

Q20: Some in Congress want to enact a law which would require that freight trains could not operate unless it has a crew of two individuals. Generally speaking, do you approve or disapprove of a federal law requiring freight trains to operate with a two-person crew?

Approve	84%
Disapprove	11
(VOL) Unsure	4

Q21: Critics of the proposed federal law on train crew size say crew size decisions are normally addressed in the collective bargaining process between rail management and rail labor, and that a law is not needed. Do you find this a convincing or not convincing reason to NOT have a federal law requiring freight trains to operate with a two-person crew?

Convincing Reason	27%
Not Convincing Reason.....	57
(VOL) Unsure	16

Q22: While we are talking about laws, some in Congress want to increase the maximum allowable truck weight on our nation’s highways from 80,000 to 97,000 pounds. Generally speaking, do you approve or disapprove increasing the maximum truck weight?

Approve	19%
Disapprove	74
(VOL) Unsure	7

Q: When it comes to political parties, do you consider yourself a?

Democrat	30%
Completely Independent	23
Republican	47

Q: When it comes to your political philosophy, which do you consider yourself?

Liberal	14%
Moderate	34
Conservative	40
Libertarian	4
(VOL) Unsure	8

Q11a: I'm now going to read you names of some public figures and organizations, for each one, please tell me if you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion, and if you never heard of them before, just let me know.

Amtrak

Favorable	75%
Unfavorable	11
(VOL) Neutral/Unsure	12
Never Heard Of	1

<u>Gender</u>	<u>Fav</u>	<u>Unfav</u>	<u>Neutral</u>	<u>NHO</u>
Men	71%	17	10	2
Women	80	6	13	0

<u>Age</u>	<u>Fav</u>	<u>Unfav</u>	<u>Neutral</u>	<u>NHO</u>
18-39	80	10	10	1
40-64	75	11	11	2
65 plus	70	14	15	1

<u>Region</u>	<u>Fav</u>	<u>Unfav</u>	<u>Neutral</u>	<u>NHO</u>
East Counties	74	12	13	1
Northwest Counties	79	11	10	0
Southwest Counties	74	11	13	2

<u>Party Identification</u>	<u>Fav</u>	<u>Unfav</u>	<u>Neutral</u>	<u>NHO</u>
Democrat	84	3	11	2
Independent	66	16	15	2
Republican	75	14	11	1

<u>Amtrak Passenger</u>	<u>Fav</u>	<u>Unfav</u>	<u>Neutral</u>	<u>NHO</u>
Not a Past Passenger	73	13	13	1
Passenger	95	2	3	0

Q13: Currently Amtrak has one daily passenger train in your area, with service between Pittsburgh and the East Coast. When it comes to passenger rail service here in South Central Pennsylvania, which best fits your view?

Passenger rail service should increase..... **44%**
 Passenger rail service should remain the same **43**
 Passenger rail service should be eliminated **4**
 (VOL) Unsure **10**

<u>Gender</u>	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Same</u>	<u>Eliminate</u>	<u>Unsure</u>
Men	38%	45	8	10
Women	49	41	1	9

<u>Age</u>	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Same</u>	<u>Eliminate</u>	<u>Unsure</u>
18-44	41	47	4	8
45-64	46	42	4	8
65 plus	43	38	5	13

<u>Region</u>	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Same</u>	<u>Eliminate</u>	<u>Unsure</u>
East Counties	32	49	5	14
Northwest Counties	51	37	5	6
Southwest Counties	49	41	2	8

<u>Party Identification</u>	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Same</u>	<u>Eliminate</u>	<u>Unsure</u>
Democrat	54	32	4	10
Independent	36	46	7	11
Republican	41	48	3	8

<u>Amtrak Passenger Status</u>	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Same</u>	<u>Eliminate</u>	<u>Unsure</u>
Not a Past Passenger	41	45	4	10
Passenger	58	32	3	7

Q14: Right now train service in South Central Pennsylvania is not always convenient. If there was an additional train or trains as a daytime option to cities like Philadelphia, New York or Chicago, do you find this an idea you could support?

Yes **74%**
 No **21**
 (VOL) Unsure **5**

<u>Gender</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Unsure</u>
Men	66%	30	4
Women	81	13	6

<u>Age</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Unsure</u>
18-39	73	23	4
40-64	76	18	6
65 plus	71	22	7

<u>Region</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Unsure</u>
East Counties	68	27	5
Northwest Counties	83	11	6
Southwest Counties	72	23	5

<u>Party Identification</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Unsure</u>
Democrat	80	15	5
Independent	72	24	5
Republican	71	23	6

<u>Amtrak Passenger</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Unsure</u>
Not a Past Passenger	71	23	6
Passenger	91	9	0

Q16: Currently the federal government contributes approximately 1/3 of Amtrak’s overall budget. Knowing this, which best fits your view on Amtrak funding?

The percentage should be higher to allow more passenger rail **28%**
 The percentage sounds about right, keep Amtrak where it is **44**
 The percentage should be less, which likely mean cuts **6**
 Funding should be eliminated, with a loss of most service in America **13**
 (VOL) Unsure **8**

<u>Gender</u>	<u>Higher</u>	<u>Right</u>	<u>Less</u>	<u>Cut</u>	<u>Unsure</u>
Men	21%	40	8	21	10
Women	35	48	5	6	7

<u>Age</u>	<u>Higher</u>	<u>Right</u>	<u>Less</u>	<u>Cut</u>	<u>Unsure</u>
18-39	28	46	8	12	6
40-64	30	43	5	15	7
65 plus	26	43	7	11	13

<u>Region</u>	<u>Higher</u>	<u>Right</u>	<u>Less</u>	<u>Cut</u>	<u>Unsure</u>
East Counties	22	48	4	16	10
Northwest Counties	35	46	4	11	4
Southwest Counties	30	37	11	12	10

<u>Party Identification</u>	<u>Higher</u>	<u>Right</u>	<u>Less</u>	<u>Cut</u>	<u>Unsure</u>
Democrat	38	40	6	7	8
Independent	27	39	9	16	8
Republican	23	49	5	15	8

<u>Amtrak Passenger</u>	<u>Higher</u>	<u>Right</u>	<u>Less</u>	<u>Cut</u>	<u>Unsure</u>
Not a Past Passenger	26	44	7	14	9
Passenger	44	44	0	9	3

Q17: Critics of Amtrak point out that that federal government spends over \$1 billion dollars per year to subsidize passenger rail service -- mostly in capital improvements -- and that as a nation we can no longer afford Amtrak and its national rail network. Some suggest that Amtrak be privatized to the highest bidder. Such action would likely mean rail service only in the Northeast Corridor, with a loss of rail service here in South Central Pennsylvania and most of America. Knowing this, which best fits your view?

Provide more funding for additional Amtrak service **23%**
 Provide funding to keep current levels of Amtrak service **43**
 Provide less funding, which will cut Amtrak service **6**
 Privatize or cut Amtrak, eliminating most Amtrak service **19**
 (VOL) Unsure **8**

<u>Gender</u>	<u>Higher</u>	<u>Right</u>	<u>Less</u>	<u>Cut</u>	<u>Unsure</u>
Men	16%	40	9	28	8
Women	30	46	4	12	9
<u>Age</u>	<u>Higher</u>	<u>Right</u>	<u>Less</u>	<u>Cut</u>	<u>Unsure</u>
18-39	22	46	7	18	8
40-64	24	41	6	22	7
65 plus	24	43	6	17	11
<u>Region</u>	<u>Higher</u>	<u>Right</u>	<u>Less</u>	<u>Cut</u>	<u>Unsure</u>
East Counties	14	44	6	29	8
Northwest Counties	29	47	6	12	6
Southwest Counties	28	38	8	16	10
<u>Party Identification</u>	<u>Higher</u>	<u>Right</u>	<u>Less</u>	<u>Cut</u>	<u>Unsure</u>
Democrat	34	43	3	11	9
Independent	27	35	11	22	5
Republican	15	47	6	23	9
<u>Amtrak Passenger</u>	<u>Higher</u>	<u>Right</u>	<u>Less</u>	<u>Cut</u>	<u>Unsure</u>
Not a Past Passenger	22	42	6	21	9
Passenger	30	53	3	9	5

Q18: I now want to ask you about two major train accidents that happened over the summer, one in Canada, the other in Spain. How familiar would you say you are with the causes or circumstances of the accidents?

Very familiar	4%
Somewhat familiar.....	25
Not very familiar, but have heard	28
Not familiar, have not heard.....	41
(VOL) Unsure	2

<u>Gender</u>	<u>Very</u>	<u>Somewhat</u>	<u>Not Very</u>	<u>Not</u>
Men	4%	25	26	44
Women	4	25	30	39

<u>Age</u>	<u>Very</u>	<u>Somewhat</u>	<u>Not Very</u>	<u>Not</u>
18-39	5	23	28	43
40-64	4	29	31	37
65 plus	4	20	24	46

<u>Region</u>	<u>Very</u>	<u>Somewhat</u>	<u>Not Very</u>	<u>Not</u>
East Counties	2	20	27	49
Northwest Counties	6	29	30	35
Southwest Counties	4	27	27	38

<u>Party Identification</u>	<u>Very</u>	<u>Somewhat</u>	<u>Not Very</u>	<u>Not</u>
Democrat	5	25	27	41
Independent	7	25	28	39
Republican	2	25	28	43

Q19: And generally speaking, when it comes to railroad safety and operations, do you think a train with a crew of one individual can be as safe as a train with a crew of two individuals?

Yes, one operator can be as safe as two **9%**
 No, one operator cannot be not as safe **83**
 (VOL) Unsure **8**

<u>Gender</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Unsure</u>
Men	11%	80	8
Women	7	85	8

<u>Age</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Unsure</u>
18-39	15	78	7
40-64	6	86	7
65 plus	6	84	10

<u>Region</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Unsure</u>
East Counties	11	79	10
Northwest Counties	11	83	6
Southwest Counties	5	88	8

<u>Party Identification</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Unsure</u>
Democrat	13	79	8
Independent	8	82	9
Republican	7	86	7

<u>Familiar with Accidents</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Unsure</u>
Familiar	6	88	6
Not Familiar	10	81	9

Q20: Some in Congress want to enact a law which would require that freight trains could not operate unless it has a crew of two individuals. Generally speaking, do you approve or disapprove of a federal law requiring freight trains to operate with a two-person crew?

Approve **84%**
 Disapprove **11**
 (VOL) Unsure **4**

<u>Gender</u>	<u>Approve</u>	<u>Disapprove</u>	<u>Unsure</u>
Men	78%	17	5
Women	91	6	3

<u>Age</u>	<u>Approve</u>	<u>Disapprove</u>	<u>Unsure</u>
18-39	86	11	3
40-64	85	11	4
65 plus	81	12	7

<u>Region</u>	<u>Approve</u>	<u>Disapprove</u>	<u>Unsure</u>
East Counties	78	17	5
Northwest Counties	89	10	2
Southwest Counties	87	6	6

<u>Party Identification</u>	<u>Approve</u>	<u>Disapprove</u>	<u>Unsure</u>
Democrat	86	8	6
Independent	80	14	6
Republican	86	12	2

<u>Familiar with Accidents</u>	<u>Approve</u>	<u>Disapprove</u>	<u>Unsure</u>
Familiar	88	12	0
Not Familiar	83	10	6

Q21: Critics of the proposed federal law on train crew size say crew size decisions are normally addressed in the collective bargaining process between rail management and rail labor, and that a law is not needed. Do you find this a convincing or not convincing reason to NOT have a federal law requiring freight trains to operate with a two-person crew?

Convincing Reason **27%**
 Not Convincing Reason..... **57**
 (VOL) Unsure **16**

<u>Gender</u>	<u>Convincing</u>	<u>Not</u>	<u>Unsure</u>
Men	30%	52	17
Women	24	61	15
<u>Age</u>	<u>Convincing</u>	<u>Not</u>	<u>Unsure</u>
18-39	32	51	17
40-64	22	67	12
65 plus	29	46	24
<u>Region</u>	<u>Convincing</u>	<u>Not</u>	<u>Unsure</u>
East Counties	26	53	21
Northwest Counties	31	56	13
Southwest Counties	24	62	14
<u>Party Identification</u>	<u>Convincing</u>	<u>Not</u>	<u>Unsure</u>
Democrat	23	59	18
Independent	25	59	16
Republican	31	54	15